TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of:			
David L. Titus	EB Docket No. 07-13		** * \$
	 	in the second se	
DATE OF HEARING:April 10, 2008_ PLACE OF HEARING:WASHINGTON			
NEAL R. GROS 1323 RHODE ISLAN WASHINGTON	ID AVENUE, NW		

TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

IN THE MATTER OF:

EB Docket No. 07-13

DAVID L. TITUS,

FRN No. 0002074797

Amateur Radio Operation and File No. License of Amateur Radio EB-06-IH-5048 Station KB71LD

Thursday, April 10, 2008 Federal Communications Commission Room TW A-363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for pre-trial conference, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

RICHARD L. SIPPEL Chief Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Applicant:

GEORGE LYON, ESQ. Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs 1650 Tyson's Boulevard Suite 1500 McLean, VA 22102 (202) 828-9472

On Behalf of the Agency:

GARY SCHONMAN, ESQ.

Counsel for the Chief
Enforcement Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W.

Room 4C-237

Washington, D.C. 20554

(202) 418-1785

WILLIAM KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ.
Counsel for the Chief
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Gettysburg Office
Gettysburg, PA
(717) 338-2505

NEAL R. GROSS

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:31 a.m.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a pre-
4	hearing conference that was called by my
5	order, FCC-08-M-23, and have you all given
6	your notices to the court reporter?
7	Great, you have everybody?
8	Mr. Schonman, Mr. Knowles-Kellett,
9	and Mr. Lyon, good morning.
LO	MR. LYON: Good morning.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I've tried to do
12	the best I could to indicate what the issues
13	for discussion would be, and I've indicated
14	that in the order.
15	Now, I think I'm not sure I
L6	gather that well, let me see if I can hear
17	from the Bureau first of what you anticipate
18	occurring this morning.
19	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, Your Honor,
20	what we had anticipated discussing this
21	morning may change somewhat. There has been
2.2	a development, which I've already shared with

Mr. Lyon, and I will certainly share with you. We have been, that is, the Bureau has been trying to communicate with our main witness, Detective Shilling, over the past few days, in order to refine his testimony and remove any objections that Mr. Lyon may have. And, we sent Detective Shilling emails and left several voice mail messages throughout the week. This morning when Mr. Knowles-Kellet and I came into the office, we both our computers e-mail found on an Detective Shilling from close to midnight last night, that would be close to midnight Wednesday night. And, Detective Shilling explained why he had not been able to get back in touch with us. It turns out that he has been in the hospital. They've been running tests, and it turns out that he may very well

He explains in the e-mail to us

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

need gall bladder surgery, which would be

relatively soon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

from

1	that he will, hopefully, learn, although he's
2	not sure, hopefully, learn later today,
3	perhaps, tomorrow, whether he still needs
4	surgery and what his condition will allow him
5	to do, whether it's travel, testify, whether
6	he'll be in a hospital room having surgery or
7	not.
8	So, as of this moment things are
9	pretty much up in the air. We don't know
10	about his availability, because of this turn
11	of events beyond his control and ours.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what would
13	you propose doing?
14	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, there are, I
15	think, basically, two avenues we could pursue.
16	Number one, to temporarily delay
17	the hearing, and we will certainly advise the
18	court and Mr. Lyon as soon as we hear
19	anything, as to whether he needs surgery. If
20	he needs surgery, I would doubt we can have
21	the hearing next week. If he doesn't need

surgery, I don't know what condition he'll be

1 in to participate in the trial next week. 2 So, one avenue is to temporarily 3 delay the hearing, for some as yet unspecified 4 number of weeks. The other alternative is, if there 5 6 were some way that the Bureau could refine his 7 direct testimony, and if Mr. Lyon agreed to allow that direct testimony to be entered into 8 9 the record, and agree further not to cross 10 examine Mr. -- Detective Shilling, then it wouldn't be necessary beyond getting his 11 direct testimony into the record for him to 12 13 participate in the hearing. 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: By way of recast 15 written testimony is the way he participate, is that what you are saying? 16 17 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes. The second 18 avenue would be to recast his testimony in a 19 manner that Mr. Lyon would find 20 objectionable, and then also to have Mr. Lyon 21 agree not to notice Detective Shilling for

That way his direct

22

cross examination.

1	testimony comes in unopposed, and,
2	essentially, Detective Shilling's
3	participation in the hearing is on paper and
4	finished.
5	That's the second avenue.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have you discussed
7	this at all?
8	MR. SCHONMAN: With Mr. Lyon?
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
10	MR. SCHONMAN: Only to raise the
11	option, but beyond raising the option, no, we
12	haven't discussed it.
13	I mean, we literally informed Mr.
14	Lyon probably 90 seconds before you walked
15	into the courtroom this morning.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh.
17	MR. SCHONMAN: SO
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh dear.
19	MR. SCHONMAN: this has all
20	happened this morning.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, let me
22	hear from Mr. Lyon.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. LYON: Your Honor, there's,
2	obviously, some slight surprise to me, and I
3	haven't had more than about 30 seconds right
4	before you walked in to discuss with my
5	client.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me just say
7	this. Would you want to recess for half an
8	hour or an hour, and then I can come back?
9	Would that help you at all?
10	MR. LYON: Perhaps, about ten or
11	15 minutes might be fine, but there are a
12	couple of ideas that I had.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
14	MR. LYON: Basically, if there
15	would be a circumstance where I could agree to
16	bring Shilling's testimony in unopposed, it
17	would probably have to be substantially more
18	truncated than what the Bureau and I have been
19	discussing so far.
20	The other potentiality is that if
21	it would come in along with the rebuttal
22	exhibits that I have proposed, including the

1	testimony of Dr. Epperson, who designed the
2	Minnesota test that Shilling, principally,
3	relied upon, and who indicates now that it's
4	superseded and not recommended to be used.
5	And, at that point, I could make a
6	motion orally for summary decision on the
7	issues. If you were to deny that motion, I'd
8	have to insist on my right to cross examine
9	Detective Shilling.
10	I raised that matter with the
11	Bureau, perhaps, a minute before you walked
12	in, and I don't think they've had a chance to
13	evaluate or think about it at this point.
14	But, those, to me, seem to be the
15	two alternatives we could go with at this
16	point.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, all right,
18	all right. I think that I brought all the
19	necessary documents down for this conference,
20	and I forgot my calendar, the most important
21	thing right now.

Let me -- again, this is -- I'm

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	hearing this even 90 seconds later than you
2	are, but my thinking would be this. I did
3	look at the rebuttal evidence, and it would
4	seem to me, in light of this development, and
5	my instincts, perhaps, a little bit of my
6	experience, tell me that no matter what you do
7	to accommodate Detective Shilling he's not
8	going to be a happy camper, because, you know,
9	if you've got a serious discomfort, and we
10	have to sit around and wait until his serious
11	discomfort, hopefully, goes away, there's
12	always the other God, we don't want to
13	think about that.
14	I guess what I'm trying to get at
15	is that, you know, I heard the term the
16	concept of summary decision, and that might
17	just work if the Bureau is willing to waive
18	cross examination.
19	MR. SCHONMAN: Can we go off the
20	record for a moment?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I don't have
22	any problem with that. Sure.

1 Let's go off the record. 2 (Whereupon, 9:08 at a.m., 3 discussion off the record until 9:14 a.m.) 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: I just want to 5 reflect generally, in off-the-record an 6 discussion there was a candid effort to try 7 and lay out some possibilities of procedure 8 off the record, and I think it's at the point 9 now where we can talk more specifically on the 10 record. 11 ahead, Now, Mr. Schonman, go continue with your thoughts. 12 Go ahead. 13 MR. SCHONMAN: My thought is that there has been a reference to the possibility 14 of making a motion for summary decision at 15 16 some point in this case, and I have expressed to Your Honor off the record, and I'll do so 17 18 now on the record, that the Bureau does not 19 believe that this case is an appropriate candidate for summary decision, that there are 20 21 important issues, given the nature of the

offense, and all the other evidence that may

1	come in onto the record, that a summary
2	decision will not be appropriate,
3	notwithstanding the fact that discussion now
4	in recognition of the fact that discussion
5	now about summary decision is quite premature.
6	I think
7 .	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the only
8	reason that that came up is because of the
9	unusual situation that happened this morning.
10	MR. SCHONMAN: Right.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, you know,
12	that wasn't even going to be that was no
13	where on anybody's agenda this morning for
14	summary decision.
15	MR. SCHONMAN: Of course.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: We were just
17	exploring, you know, the outer worlds of what
18	might be possible.
19	All right, I hear you, you are not
20	going to go the Bureau would not does
21	not believe this case to be appropriate for
22	summary decision.

1 MR. SCHONMAN: In order for this 2 case to proceed on course as scheduled next 3 week, I think there are two things that would have to happen. 4 5 Number one, the Bureau has to firm 6 up its direct testimony of Detective Shilling. 7 And, quite frankly, we don't know under the 8 circumstances whether Detective Shilling is in 9 a position to review his testimony and make whatever edits are appropriate. Okay? 10 don't know whether we can communicate with him 11 12 before next week. All right? That's number one. 13 14 Assuming we were able to firm up his direct testimony in a manner that Mr. 15 16 Titus' counsel would find acceptable, second -- the second matter that has to be 17 cleared up is that Mr. Lyon would have to 18 19 agree to waive his right to cross examine 20 Detective Shilling. If we don't have both of those two 21

things, that is, direct testimony and a waiver

of the right to cross, then I don't think that
we can have a hearing next week, under the
circumstances.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Mr you
know, I don't have any problem with deferring
it. Even if nothing else happens, just the
fact that your key =- I don't know if it's
your key witness, but a very important
witness, who is sick and disabled, to what
extent we don't know, to put him to put you
to the burden of having him fly up here next
week, to me, would be irresponsible.
So, I'm putting him on the shelf
in a sense. It's just a question of how
what do we want to do in the meantime.
Now, there would the short
approach would be something in the nature of
maybe a partial summary decision, something
in other words, try and put this case as a
paper case as much as possible.
My recognition, and I think
everybody agrees, that Mr. Titus, in one, way,

1	shape or form, has to be subject to cross
2	examination, whether you do it by, you know
3	well, we've indicated what we could do,
4	teleconference him from the West Coast to
5	here.
6	Now, that would be, let's say
7	that's the lowest common denominator, and then
8	you move out from there.
9	Now, all you have to do is just
10	right now just indefinitely postpone the
11	hearing until we get a report on his
1.2	condition.
13	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, that may be
14	the most
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: And then reset the
16	dates.
17	MR. SCHONMAN: that would be,
18	perhaps, the most appropriate method of doing
19	that.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And, you were going
21	to recast his testimony anyway, isn't that
22	right?

1	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's what you are
3	doing.
4	MR. SCHONMAN: We are in the
5	process of refining his testimony.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: So, another reason
7	why it's just impracticable, if not
8	impossible, to have a hearing next week.
9	So, why don't we do this. Why
10	don't we just temporarily postpone the
11	hearing, and I'll set new dates when we find
12	out what the condition is, and you just give
13	me a status report, let's say, in ten days.
14	MR. SCHONMAN: We will do that.
15	Well, as soon as we hear on Detective
16	Shilling's condition.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, of course, or
18	before that, yes, but I'm saying
19	MR. SCHONMAN: We'll certainly
20	give you a status report and share with the
21	court and Mr. Lyon what we know when we find
22	out.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. I just want
2	to know, at least by ten days I want to know
3	what's going on.
4	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir. We will
5	certainly do that.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: My idea is that,
7	what I'm hearing now today is that we will get
8	his testimony recast, then we are going to do
9	the same thing that we did before. We are
10	going to have an admission session, we are
11	going to either, you know, receive it quickly
12	and quietly, or we are going to have some more
13	debate over it, and at that point then we can
14	get into what you want to do with rebuttal, if
15	anything.
16	I'm not convinced that this case
17	needs a rebuttal. I'm just simply trying to
18	handle the situation as it was presented to me
19	this morning, which was, you know, up in the
20	air.
21	MR. SCHONMAN: I would share with
22	you that Bureau counsel and Mr. Titus' counsel

1	have had discussions about refining, I prefer
2	to use the word refine Detective Shilling's
3	testimony rather than recast it, we have had
4	a number of discussions, and I would venture
5	to say that we are relatively close to
6	language that both sides would find
7	acceptable. We just have to run it past
8	Detective Shilling to make sure that it is all
9	factually accurate and that it's acceptable to
10	the witness.
1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
12	MR. SCHONMAN: We just have this
L3	sudden and very unexpected event which has
.4	happened.
L5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well, that's
L6	I think that this is the best way to leave
L7	it then.
L8	So, we'll be in recess pending my
L9	call, with a ten-day or earlier status report
20	on the condition of Detective Shilling.
21	MR. SCHONMAN: Thank you, Your
22	Honor

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay? Thank you
2	very much.
3	MR. LYON: Thank you.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: We are off the
5	record.
6	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
7	matter was concluded at 9:20 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

NEAL R. GROSS

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

David L. Titus

Name of Hearing				
EB DOCKET NO. 07-13				
Docket No. (if applicable)				
445 12 STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.				
Place of Hearing				
•				
April 10, 2008				
Date of Hearing				
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 9, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by Kevin Connolly (Reporter's Name) in attendance at the above identified hearing, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearings and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing or conference.				
April 21, 2008	Kevin Onnoller			
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.			
April 21, 2008	Judy Hadley Judy Hadley			
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.			
April 21, 2008	Tracy Cain Tracy Cain			
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.			